

95

C.F. 11

19 35

Department of *L. L. H. Co.*

OFFICE *Board of Directors*

STATION *Chicago*

|             |                |
|-------------|----------------|
| <i>File</i> | No. <i>919</i> |
| Dated       | <i>3-3-35</i>  |

*3-6-35*

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.)

*The assets located (name) -  
in London -  
the new location  
count  
also used.*

7 fbf

In reply, please quote  
number, date, and  
short title.\*

Received

Registered

From (Name)

M.R.Ry.K.P.Krishnan Nallur P.A.

(Designation)

Special Assistant Settlement Officer  
in charge, Part III,  
Mangalore.

Post Box

(STATION)

Telegraphic Address

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners of  
Land Revenue and Settlement,  
Chepauk, Madras.

Through the Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore.

R.O.C.A2. No. 3160-34-2 dated 2.2.35 19 .

Sir,

\*

ENCLOSURES

Settlement - Resettlement - South Kanara - Puttur  
Taluk - Neriya Cardamom forest lands - Classification -  
Exhibition in the resettlement accounts - Instructions  
Solicited.

\*\*\*\*\*

Paragraph 13 of Board's endorsement No. 35 Press  
dated 3.7.34.

Paragraph 9 of G.O.No.42 dated 5.1.35.

\*\*\*\*

In their order quoted above, Government have  
approved the proposals contained in paragraph 59 of the  
scheme report that the tract known as the Neriya carda-  
mom forest in the Puttur Taluk comprising an extent of  
acres 19413 need not be resurveyed and that the existing  
assessment may continue unaltered during the currency  
of the resettlement. This extent is made up of acres  
146.72 of cardamom cultivation, acres 1900.19 of kumari  
cultivation and acres 17366.09 of kumaki etc., cultiv-  
tion and they have been assessed at Re.1-0-0, 0-3-0 and  
0-2-0 respectively per acre. The Revenue Accounts show  
that these 3 classes of lands assessed at different  
rates have all been classified under 3.5. soil 9th tar  
This soil classification and taram are appropriate only  
to the lands assessed at 0-2-0 an acre, the village of  
Neriya being placed in the IV group. In regard to  
lands assessed at Re.1-0-0 and 0-3-0, the only

1254(F)

L No 623-A2-35

Submitted. Dated 13.7.35

With the proposal  
of the SRSOSame  
9.2.35 GKH

course appears to be to <sup>leave</sup> ~~have~~ the columns headed "soil classification and taram" blank in the Resettlement accounts and to enter the remark "Special cardamom rate" or "Special kumari rate" as the case may be, in the remarks column.

I solicit the favour of the Board's approval of my proposal.

*J. H. Ramaswami*

S.A.2.2.35.

For Spl. Asst. Sett. Officer in charge.

*by*  
*2/2*

**DESPATCHED**  
2 - FEB 1935

# DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

C.F. 16.

|                                   | Date. | Initials. |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| When received for fair copying .. |       |           |
| When copied ..                    |       |           |
| When examined ..                  |       |           |
| When signed ..                    |       |           |
| When despatched.                  |       |           |

SUBJECT:—

Sutt - Reuts (South Kanara)  
 Keriya Cardamom forest.  
 Soil classification -  
 Exhibition in account.  
 Orders passed.

READ AGAIN \_\_\_\_\_, dated \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_

READ ALSO:— \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_

No. \_\_\_\_\_ From the \_\_\_\_\_, No. \_\_\_\_\_, dated \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_

Order Ms. No. 919, dated 1-3- \_\_\_\_\_ 1935  
Resolution Route

Issued  
Ms.  
1-3-35

As all the three rates referred to in the S.A-S.O's letter are only special rates the Board considers that the soil classification need not be shown against any of the three classes of lands <sup>and</sup> that the rate of assessment also <sup>(in the appropriate col.)</sup> be entered with the entry in the remarks column as 'Special Cardamom rate' 'Special Kumeri rate' & 'Special Kumeri rate' etc. in the Remarks column.

To

The Collector South Kanara  
 Sd. A. S.O. in charge  
 of Party No. III.

1-3-35

Sett. Result - (South Kanara) - Puttur  
Taluk - Neriyann Cardamom forest.  
classification. Exhibition in result  
accounts.

m<sub>2</sub>  
 25.  
 A.S. (Sett).

—  
 F. 666/35 11/14/20

In A.S. (Sett)

Please see the S.A.S.O's  
 letter at pp 1 & 2 c.f

pp 38-40  
 of (E)

2. Para 59 of the result.  
 scheme report sets out in detail the  
 nature of the tract. It may be seen  
 from it that the ratio of cardamom  
 is 1 per acre of cardamom cultivation.

\* shifting cultivation } Re 0-3-0 for humari & Re 0-2-0 per  
     in forests }  
 acre of land held on humari right  
 are special rates peculiar to this area

\* right of grazing } The entire area consisting of 194/3 a  
     cattle, cutting fire-wood }  
     & timber etc for domestic } forms one survey number. At the  
     purposes on govt. waste } last sett. the entire field was class  
     lands. } as dry and the lowest classification

(45)

8-5 was adopted - Vide entry against  
 S. No 146 of the Sett. register for Neriy  
 village put up. It was only subsequent  
 that the area was surveyed &  
 divided into those cultivated with  
 cardamom, those on humari & the

in which kumaki privileges were exercised & the rates sanctioned in G.O. 114 Rev. d. 7-2-1905 were levied. Apparently the old soil classification has been continued in respect of all the sub-divisions in respect of the nature of the cultivation.

(P)

The S. A. S. O.'s proposal is to ~~omit the soil classification for~~ ~~the areas shown~~ have the sub showing soil classification & taram blank in the reath register in respect of the areas assessed at 1-1-0 & 1-2-3 & in these rates are not appropriate to the soil classification 8-5 and to give this classification in respect of the areas assessed at 1-2-0 in which case the rate is appropriate to the classification given. As has already been pointed out, none of the rates fixed have been fixed with reference to the soil classification but the old classification was merely continued. Even the 1-2-0 rate is levied for land held on kumaki right is not ordinary dry rate for lands held on kumaki & no reason is given as

b6 14 270 f

(and the S.A.S.O.'s statement that the rate of as 2 is appropriate is therefore not correct)

levied on kumaki lands) As all of the rates are special rates it seems that the soil classification should not be shown against any of the above three classes of lands but the rate & assessment should be entered with the ~~remarks~~ entry in the remarks column as "Special cardamom rate", "Special kumaki rate" & "Special kumaki rate". For orders.

ile  
29.2. 6/22.00  
agreed  
M  
202  
12

Q  
25-2-35  
K.D.  
26-2-35

J.S. M.  
22

A.S. (Lit)

In A.S. (Lit)

draft submd.

X  
28-2-35  
9/2-35

6/3  
1.3.35